```
ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109)
 1
    SKADDEN, ARPS, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
 2
    525 University Avenue, Ste. 1100
    Palo Alto, CA 94301
 3
    Telephone: (650) 470-4500
    Facsimile: (650) 470-4570
 4
    CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS (SBN 87787)
 5
    TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932)
    ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEÁDLEY, LLP
    803 Hearst Avenue
 6
    Berkeley, CA 94710
 7
    Telephone: (510) 845-3000
    Facsimile: (510) 845-3003
 8
    DENNIS P. RIORDAN (SBN 69320)
    DONALD M. HORGAN (SBN 121547)
    RIORDAN & HORGAN
10
    523 Octavia Street
    San Francisco, CA 94102
11
    Telephone: (415) 431-3472
    Facsimile: (415) 552-2703
12
    Attorneys for Defendant
    BARRÝ LAMAR BONDS
13
                            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
15
                          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16
                                SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
                                               Case No. CR 07 0732 SI
17
                                                DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE
                         Plaintiff.
18
                                                EXPERT AND LAY TESTIMONY
19
                                                REGARDING PURPORTED SIDE
            VS.
                                                EFFECTS OF STEROIDS AND HGH
     BARRY LAMAR BONDS,
20
                                                Date:
                                                      TBA
                         Defendant.
21
                                                Time: TBA
                                               Judge: The Honorable Susan Illston
22
                                     INTRODUCTION
23
           Prior to trial, the defense moved in limine to exclude expert and lay testimony on the side
24
    effects of anabolic steroids and human growth hormone (hereafter "HGH") on the ground that
25
    either (a) the occurrence of such side effects had not been established scientifically; (b) there was
26
    inadequate evidence that any such side effect had been observed in Mr. Bonds; or (c) both (a) and
27
    (b). In its Order of February 19, 2009 (Dkt. 137, hereafter "Order"), the Court denied
28
    Defendant's Motion to Strike
                                              1
    Testimony re: Steroids and HGH
```

defendant's motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Initially, the Court summarized the proposed expert testimony of government witness Doctor Larry Bowers as follows:

Dr. Bowers opines that anabolic steroids can cause the following effects:

increased hair growth on the trunk and extremities (primarily in women), male pattern baldness, the development of acne, particularly on the upper back, decrease in testicular size, increased aggressiveness, feelings of invincibility, "roid rage," weakening of the heart, hypertension, injury to the liver and possible links to prostate cancer. See Gov't Supp. Opp., Supp. Decl. of Larry D. Bowers ¶ 3 ("Bowers Decl. II"). As for HGH, Dr. Bowers opines that side effects can include "an increase in the size of one's head or skull, jaw, hands and fingers, and feet and toes, as well as improved eyesight." Id. ¶ 5

(Order, at 17).

As to the admissibility of Doctor Bowers's testimony, the Court ruled: "Dr. Bowers' professional experience in the field of athletic drug testing and his peer-reviewed scholarly work satisfy the Court that it may rely on Dr. Bowers' characterization of the literature on the side effects of anabolic steroids and HGH. Accordingly, the Court finds that Dr. Bowers' opinion is sufficiently reliable to be admitted under Rule 702." (*Id.*, at 19)

The Court then turned to the defendant's claim that "Dr. Bowers' testimony should be excluded because it is not relevant, [as] there is no 'fit' between Dr. Bowers' proffered testimony and the facts at issue in this case." The Court "disagree[d]," ruling that:

> Dr. Bowers' testimony will help the jury understand the typical effects of using anabolic steroids and HGH. If defendant developed any of the symptoms described by Dr. Bowers during the period in question, such evidence would be probative of whether defendant had been exposed to such substances. This evidence could, in turn, be probative evidence concerning the charges in the indictment. The Court will therefore require an offer of proof from the government before Dr. Bowers testifies establishing that there is or will be evidence in the record that defendant developed some of the symptoms Dr. Bowers will describe. Subject to an adequate offer of proof, the Court finds that Dr. Bowers's proffered testimony is relevant.

(*Id.*, at 19).

The admission of the expert and lay testimony on side effects was thus doubly conditional. First, the expert testimony that side effects exist was conditioned on an adequate Defendant's Motion to Strike

27 28

Testimony re: Steroids and HGH

scientific showing under *Daubert* that anabolic steroids and HGH cause such side effects.

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579, 590 (1993) ("[I]n order to qualify as 'scientific knowledge,' an inference or assertion must be derived by the scientific method.

Proposed testimony must be supported by appropriate validation — *i.e.*, "good grounds," based on what is known. In short, the requirement that an expert's testimony pertain to 'scientific knowledge' establishes a standard of evidentiary reliability.") Second, even if such a scientific basis could be laid as to a given side effect, the admissibility of testimony concerning that specific effect was further conditioned on a showing that the effect in question was relevant to this case — that is, proof that Mr. Bonds had exhibited that particular side effect.

Defendant Bonds does not dispute that the necessary two-pronged showing for admissibility has been established as to certain side effects of anabolic steroids. For example, Doctor Bowers's testimony that the use of anabolic steroids can be accompanied by a gain in lean muscle mass, acne, hair loss, sexual dysfunction, mood changes, or bloating has been supported by lay testimony that Mr. Bonds exhibited those characteristics. Of course, the credibility of that lay testimony has been severely challenged, and proof has been admitted of alternate explanations of all of those side effects — *viz.*, they can equally be caused by cortical steroids, which Mr. Bonds was taking at all of the times in question, or, regarding an increase in lean muscle mass, by the sort of intense training with heavy weights Mr. Bonds undertook. Given the conflict in proof, whether the evidence concerning these side effects has any probative value in proving the charges in the indictment is a question for the jury.

But evidence offered to prove a given factual proposition is only admissible if it passes muster under Rule 104(b), under which the court "examines all the evidence in the case and decides whether the jury could reasonably find the conditional fact ... by a preponderance of the evidence." *Huddleston v. United States*, 485 U.S. 681, 690 (1988). As to one alleged side effect of anabolic steroids, the necessary "fit" between expert and lay testimony which would permit a jury to reasonably find that Mr. Bonds suffered that side effect due to steroid use is wholly absent. That side effect is testicular shrinkage. For that reason, Mr. Bonds moves below to strike all expert and lay testimony on that subject.

Furthermore, Doctor Bowers's own testimony demonstrates that there is simply no scientific basis whatsoever for the proposition that the side effects of the use of exogenous HGH "can include 'an increase in the size of one's head or skull, jaw, hands and fingers, and feet and toes, as well as improved eyesight.' *Id.* ¶ 5." (Order, at 17) Likewise, there is no lay testimony in the record that is consistent with the conclusion that Mr. Bonds experienced an unusual increase in any of his body parts during the relevant time in question. For that reason, all expert and lay testimony dealing with the supposed side effects of HGH must be stricken from the record.

I. THE RECORD DOES NOT CONTAIN EVIDENCE THAT WOULD PERMIT A JURY TO REASONABLY CONCLUDE THAT MR. BONDS SUFFERED TESTICULAR SHRINKAGE DUE TO THE USE OF ANABOLIC STEROIDS

At trial, Doctor Bowers testified that a study of heavy steroids users produced data that steroids users experience a shrinkage on average of approximately one quarter inch in the size of their testes; that such shrinkage can be measured by a medical device called an orchidometer; and that steroid use does not cause a shrinkage in the size of the scrotum. (RT 707)¹ While Ms. Bell claimed in her testimony that she did observe a change in Mr. Bonds' testicles during the course of their relationship, she admitted that her sworn grand jury testimony that his testicles shrunk to half their size was not true. (RT 965-966)

The expert testimony offered by Doctor Bowers does not support the government's pretrial contention that the effect of steroid use, even heavy steroid use, produces changes in the size of the testes that can be observed visually by a non-professional. Given that the scrotum does not shrink, detection of an average shrinkage of .25 inches in the testes would require measurement by a scientific or medical instrument. The promised scientific predicate for the admission of Ms. Bell's testimony thus has not been introduced, and her testimony concerning her observation of Mr. Bonds' testes should thus be stricken as irrelevant for that reason alone. FRE 401 (To be relevant, evidence must render fact in issue more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence).

¹ The relevant pages of the Reporter's Transcript are attached as Exhibit A.

1 | 2 | th 3 | ad 4 | 9 | 5 | M

Additionally, Ms. Bell has now admitted that she gave false testimony to the grand jury that she had observed Mr. Bonds's testes shrunk to half their previous size. (RT 965-966) That admission marks her statement to Playboy that the defendant's testicles had "shriveled up" (RT 966) as false as well. These admissions of falsity during Ms. Bell's testimony on Monday, March 28th were no doubt prompted by the fact that Doctor Bowers's testimony three days earlier exposed Ms. Bell's prior descriptions of testicular shrinkage as pure fiction. Defendant submits that this Court likely would have granted the defense motion in limine on the subject of testes if it had known when making that ruling that Ms. Bell had testified falsely on this subject while under oath before the grand jury.

Finally, the subject of the size of the defendant's testes is obviously one which some, if not many, jurors find distasteful, embarrassing, and distracting. The topic has occupied a considerable amount of the Court's time, and more time and attention necessarily will be spent on the subject if it remains an issue in the case. For these reasons, the testimony of Doctor Bowers and Ms. Bell concerning testicular size and shrinkage should be stricken under FRE 402 and 403.

I. THE RECORD DOES NOT CONTAIN EVIDENCE THAT WOULD PERMIT A JURY TO REASONABLY CONCLUDE THAT MR. BONDS SUFFERED SIDE EFFECTS FROM TAKING EXOGENOUS HGH

A. Statement of Facts

1. The Expert Testimony

During his direct testimony, when asked about the side effects of human growth hormone, Doctor Bowers made clear that his scientific data would concern not the effects of exogenous human growth hormone, but "the disease that's associated with excess human growth hormone." (RT 650). Bowers then discussed acromegaly, a disease involving the enlargement of the extremities due to excess human growth hormone. (RT 651) The symptoms associated with this disease, which is caused by a pituitary tumor (RT 651, 659, 661, 713), include an increase in head, hand, and foot size. (RT 651) The bony part of the head grows due to the disease. (RT 652). Bowers acknowledged that "exogenous human growth hormone plays no part in the disorder of acromegaly" (RT 660), but expressed a "concern" that there could be "the same **Defendant's Motion to Strike**

effect, even though the source of the growth hormone is different...." (RT 655-656)

Bowers conceded that "[t]here really aren't any studies per se on the side effects of large doses" of HGH. (RT 655) While there is a single peer-reviewed paper by Melman that expressed the "concern" testified to by Bowers, there is no study that links the use of exogenous HGH to the symptoms of acromegaly. (RT 661-663) Bowers acknowledged that there are no medical texts which discuss a "concern or theory that exogenous human growth hormone can cause the symptoms associated with acromegaly." (RT 664)

Later during his cross-examination, Bowers conceded that he could not opine whether it was "probable" that someone who took exogenous human growth hormone would have his head get bigger, nor could he say what amount of exogenous human growth hormone might cause an increase in head size. (RT 697). Bowers conceded that acromegaly is a disease involving weakness (RT 699), and that carpal tunnel symptom — damage to the nerves in the wrist — and myopathy — weakness of the muscles — are common symptoms of acromegaly. (RT 706) Bowers agreed that the Williams medical text, an edition of which he relied on in his testimony, listed numbness of the hands as a symptom of acromegaly, and that the disease is slow developing and often takes ten years after onset to diagnose. (RT 701-702) The Williams text noted that nine years after the onset of acromegaly, only 11 percent of those suffering from the disease exhibited the symptoms of an enlargement of head, hands, or feet. (RT 725) Bowers also agreed that under his hypothesis that exogenous HGH possibly could cause the symptoms of acromegaly, it might take ten years for those symptoms to appear. (RT 703) Bowers further agreed that a common symptom of acromegaly is a deformation of the jawbone that, once it occurs, cannot be reversed. (RT 703-704).

On redirect, Bowers agreed that 'as far as definitive links between athletes using heavy doses of human growth hormone and these side effects, there's an absence of definitive studies demonstrating that effect." (RT 711-712) During the course of his testimony, Doctor Bowers did not refer to a single reported instance in which the taking of exogenous HGH resulted in the development of such symptoms.

1

2 3 4

5 6

7

8

11

10

12 13

> 15 16

14

17 18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

2. The Lay Testimony

Mike Murphy, the Giants equipment manager, stated that in the later years of Mr. Bonds' playing career, his hat size increased an eighth of an inch from 71/4 to 7 3/8. (RT 734-737) Murphy also testified that the hat sizes of Willie Mays and Willie McCovey also increased when they had gained weight after their retirement, and that Mr. Bonds' larger hat size was far from the largest on the team. (RT 739-740) The record establishes that Mr. Bonds was markedly heavier in his later years with the Giants than when he had been during the mid-nineties. (RT 421)

Stevie Hoskins testified that Mr. Bonds glove size changed and that his shoe size increased during the time he played for the Giants, although he gave no figure for the increases. He testified that Mr. Bonds used orthotics inserted in his playing shoes later in his career (RT 421, 556), a fact that could readily account for any alleged increase in shoe size.

В. Argument

Indisputably, the existence of a scientific hypotheses is not scientific proof of the truth of that hypotheses. Scientists in nineteenth century London were convinced that the cholera then killing millions around the world was caused by the fetid air of the overpopulated city — a not unreasonable theory — until John Snow's landmark epidemiological study in Soho in 1849 proved that water-borne bacteria was the causative agent. Doctor Bowers posits that the taking of exogenous HGH can cause the symptoms of acromegaly. Perhaps, but, as noted above, not a single case of such cause and effect has ever been reported.

In his testimony, Doctor Bowers agreed that no data supporting his hypotheses existed, but suggested that was due to the impossibility of running a controlled double blind study to test his theory, as such a study would be ethically impermissible. (RT 663, 712) Admittedly, doubleblind studies are the gold standard of medical research, but the ethical hurdle described by Doctor Bowers is commonly overcome by conducting studies in a different manner. As Doctor Bowers noted, a researcher cannot give patients a drug to prove the hypotheses that the drug causes cancer (RT 712), but that researcher can identify patients with a given cancer and determine what symptoms are associated with that disease. Indeed, Bowers himself referred to a study of selfidentified users of anabolic steroids by Harrison Pope in the Archives of General Psychiatry that

2
 3

amassed data on the symptoms they displayed. (RT 713-714). Likewise, a study in the Journal of Clinical and Sports Medicine in 2005 analyzed the effects of anabolic steroid use relying on self-reported data obtained through an internet survey.² Obviously, Doctor Bowers's hypotheses regarding HGH could be tested in this manner, but no such study exists.

In any case, the difficulty of obtaining scientific proof of a proposition does not render testimony concerning that proposition admissible under *Daubert*. *Id*, at 590. ('Proposed testimony *must be supported by appropriate validation* — *i.e.*, "good grounds," based on what is known.") (emphasis added) Scientifically speaking, there are no known side effects of the use of exogenous HGH.

Furthermore, even if the use of exogenous HGH could mimic the symptoms of acromegaly, based on the lay testimony in this case, no rational juror could conclude that Mr. Bonds was suffering those side effects. Acromegaly causes numbness in the hands, nerve damage in the wrists, muscle weakness, and a deformed jaw. At the time the government claims that Mr. Bonds was taking HGH, he was engaged in the successful pursuit of major league baseball's season and career home run records, feats which required tremendous strength of the hands, wrists, and arms. And Bowers, the government's own expert, opined that it could take a decade for any symptom of exogenous HGH use to appear, meaning Mr. Bonds would not have exhibited any side effects of excess HGH during the time period in question in this case.

Finally, the supposed "side effects" testified to by Mr. Hoskins and Mr. Murphy consisted of a very small increase — an eighth of an inch — in hat size; an undefined increase in shoe size at a time when Mr. Bonds started using orthotics; and an undefined change in glove size. Yet Mr. Murphy established that a slight increase in hat size can be due to weight gain as players age, as was true in the case of Willie Mays and Willie McCovey. The record evidence proves Mr. Bonds gained weight over the period in which his hat, glove, and shoe size slightly increased.

For years, the media has spread stories that Mr. Bonds had some dramatic increase in the

² "Anabolic Steroid Use in Weightlifters and Bodybuilders, An Internet Survey of Drug Utilization" by Paul J. Perry, PhD, Brian C. Lund, PharmD, MS, Michael J. Deninger, PhD, Eric C. Kutscher, PharmD, and Justin Schneider, PharmD.

Case3:07-cr-00732-SI Document340 Filed04/05/11 Page9 of 9

size of his head, hands, and feet due to steroid use. It now is clear that (a) none of these 1 supposed changes can be caused by steroid use; (b) there is no scientific basis for the conclusion 2 3 that such changes can be caused by exogenous HGH; and (c) there were no changes in Mr. 4 Bonds' body other than those attributable to weight gain. 5 The public has been subjected for years to what now is exposed as an urban myth. That myth has no place in a federal criminal trial. All lay and expert testimony concerning the 6 7 purported side effects of exogenous HGH should be stricken from the record. 8 Dated: April 5, 2011 Respectfully submitted, 9 LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY 10 ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP 11 **RIORDAN & HORGAN** 12 By /s/ Dennis P. Riordan 13 Dennis P. Riordan By /s/ Donald M. Horgan 14 Donald M. Horgan 15 Counsel for Defendant 16 **Barry Lamar Bonds** 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Defendant's Motion to Strike Testimony re: Steroids and HGH